

Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: ARSHA V DAS

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Semester 1 Feedback Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 A Session Id: TE-SN1805-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 43** Overall Score: 83.65

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	19	44.19%	337.25
		Good	14.13	20	46.51%	282.6
		Fair	10.28	3	6.98%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	2.33%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	13	30.23%	188.5
		Good	11.81	20	46.51%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	10	23.26%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	62.79%	202.5
	3. reactions withinghess to freep	Good	5.71	15	34.88%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	1	2.33%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	67.44%	169.65
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.65%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	12	27.91%	19.68
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	28	65.12%	112
		Yes	0.67	8	18.6%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	16.28%	11.55
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	17	39.53%	157.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	44.19%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	7	16.28%	17.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	36	83.72%	171
		Too Fast	1.34	2	4.65%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	11.63%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	23	53.49%	158.24
		Some Times	3.89	17	39.53%	66.13
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.98%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	36	83.72%	243
	i. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	11.63%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.33%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.33%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	36	83.72%	414
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	9.3%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	3	6.98%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	19	44.19%	213.75
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	15	34.88%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	9	20.93%	58.14
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

- very good
- good teacher. but she can't handle our class
- strength: willingness to clear doubts weak : couldn't control the discipline of the class
- Good
- good

Special Remarks

- Good
- 7/10
- All the best ma'am....
- Good



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: SALINIGOPAL M S

Subject: PHT110 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS B

Session Name: Semester 1 Feedback Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 A Session Id: TE-SN1805-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 42** Overall Score: 93.06

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	73.81%	550.25
	1. Subject This weage	Good	14.13	9	21.43%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	2	4.76%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Hadaustonding of toochors Evaluations	Excellent	14.50	29	69.05%	420.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	30.95%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	2.T. 1. W'll'	Excellent	7.50	34	80.95%	255
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	7	16.67%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	1	2.38%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4.4. ' + 0/ C.1. NOT. 11 4 + 1	Less than 10	5.85	30	71.43%	175.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	4.76%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	23.81%	16.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	32	76.19%	128
		Yes	0.67	4	9.52%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	14.29%	9.9
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	76.19%	296
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	23.81%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	39	92.86%	185.25
		Too Fast	1.34	3	7.14%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
		Yes	6.88	33	78.57%	227.04
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	21.43%	35.01
		No No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	39	92.86%	263.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.76%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.38%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.28	0	0%	+
				+ -	97.62%	471.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere Not Sincere	11.50	41		471.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	5.06
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.38%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	73.81%	348.75
		Good	9.03	8	19.05%	72.24
		Fair	6.46	3	7.14%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

- She knows the pace of students and also use it to help students to show their potential
- good
- One of the pleasant teacher i have ever met
- good teacher and encourage ours
- Very supportive and friendly faculty
- Subject knowledge Strength Nil weakness
- good

Special Remarks • good • 9/10

- Shalini Ma'am is sooo good
- good



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: DR.S.H. ANILKUMAR

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS

Session Name: Semester 1 Feedback
Session Id: TE-SN1805-Feb-2023
Users Completed: 42

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 A
Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020
Users Completed: 42

Overall Score: 93.44

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	85.71%	639
		Good	14.13	3	7.14%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	3	7.14%	30.8
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2.61.74 111.1 4 17 64 1 1 1 1 4	Excellent	14.50	31	73.81%	449.
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	5	11.9%	59.0
		Fair	8.11	5	11.9%	40.5
		Poor	2.01	1	2.38%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	2 T. 1 W'''	Excellent	7.50	36	85.71%	270
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	4	9.52%	22.8
		Fair	4.04	2	4.76%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
		Less than 10	5.85	28	66.67%	163
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	2.38%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	13	30.95%	21.3
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No No	4.00	35	83.33%	140
		Yes	0.67	2	4.76%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	11.9%	8.25
		Excellent	9.25	34	80.95%	314
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	7	16.67%	44.3
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.38%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	35	83.33%	166
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34			
				3	9.52%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40		7.14%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	85.71%	247
		Some Times	3.89	5	11.9%	19.4
		No Control of the Indian	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.38%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	97.62%	276
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.38%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	42	100%	483
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	85.71%	405
	S. S	Good	9.03	4	9.52%	36.1
		Fair	6.46	2	4.76%	12.9
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

- good
- he is a good sir. my fav. he help and motive students
- Very Supportive and student friendly Faculty
- Very supportive & students friendly faculty
- strength Subject knowledge, passion to teaching, ability to control the class effectively weakness Non founded
- good

Special Remarks • good • 10/10

- sir your classes just amazing it's satisfactory...Anil Sir is GREAT
- Such a great sir
- \bullet good



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: SALIM PAUL

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Semester 1 Feedback
Session Id: TE-SN1805-Feb-2023
Users Completed: 42

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 A
Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020
Users Completed: 42

Overall Score: 77.3

ers Completed : 42				Overall Sco	JIE . //
tion Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	61.9%	461.5
	Good	14.13	6	14.29%	84.78
	Fair	10.28	6	14.29%	61.6
	Poor	3.53	4	9.52%	14.1
	Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	16	38.1%	232
	Good	11.81	11	26.19%	129.
	Fair	8.11	8	19.05%	64.8
	Poor	2.01	7	16.67%	14.0
	Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	19	45.24%	142.
over an area of the second	Good	5.71	10	23.81%	57.1
	Fair	4.04	7	16.67%	28.2
	Poor	1.13	5	11.9%	5.65
	Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.38%	2.21
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	27	64.29%	157.
4. Approximate 70 of classes 1401 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	2.38%	3.66
	More than 25	1.91	2	4.76%	3.82
	Can't Judge	1.64	12	28.57%	19.6
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	29	69.05%	116
	Yes	0.67	4	9.52%	2.68
	Can't Judge	1.65	9	21.43%	14.8
Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	19	45.24%	175.
	Satisfactory	6.34	16	38.1%	101.
	Inadequate	2.50	6	14.29%	15
	Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.38%	3.16
2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	71.43%	142.
	Too Fast	1.34	7	16.67%	9.38
	Can't Judge	1.40	5	11.9%	7
3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	24	57.14%	165.
5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	26.19%	42.7
	No	0.74	6	14.29%	4.44
	Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.38%	1.78
4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	28	66.67%	189
4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	7.14%	8.34
	Unpleasant	1.28	7	16.67%	8.96
	Can't Judge	1.94	4	9.52%	7.76
5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	30	71.43%	345
5. Smoothly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4	9.52%	5.32
	Unable to Judge	5.06	5	11.9%	25.3
	Blank	4.03	3	7.14%	12.0
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	19	45.24%	213.
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	28.57%	108.
	Fair	6.46	4	9.52%	25.8
	Poor	1.56	6	14.29%	9.36
	Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.38%	2.03

- good
- The best sir ive ever met!!
- $\bullet\,$ he doesn't take class properly . irresponsible . he is very very poor teacher
- Very unsupportive faculty. Doesn't give good grades. Very slow in processing the needful. Careless and Bad behavior
- very unsupportive faculty , won't give marks for the assignment which we submitted
- strength subject knowledge
- Good

- Special Remarks
 good
 0/10
 Very supportive. Bad behavior and careless
- poorGood



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: SREEKUTTY S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Semester 1 Feedback Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 A Session Id: TE-SN1805-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 42** Overall Score: 81.88

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	59.52%	443.75
		Good	14.13	11	26.19%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	3	7.14%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	3	7.14%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	50%	304.5
		Good	11.81	13	30.95%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	3	7.14%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	5	11.9%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	52.38%	165
	3. reactions withingness to freep	Good	5.71	13	30.95%	74.23
		Fair	4.04	3	7.14%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	4	9.52%	4.52
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	28	66.67%	163.8
		10 to 25	3.66	1	2.38%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	3	7.14%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	23.81%	16.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	30	71.43%	120
		Yes	0.67	4	9.52%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	8	19.05%	13.2
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	19	45.24%	175.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	42.86%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	4	9.52%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.38%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	28	66.67%	133
		Too Fast	1.34	10	23.81%	13.4
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	9.52%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	64.29%	185.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	14	33.33%	54.46
		No	0.74	1	2.38%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	29	69.05%	195.75
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	7	16.67%	19.46
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.76%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	9.52%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	33	78.57%	379.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	9.52%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	7.14%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	2	4.76%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	52.38%	247.5
		Good	9.03	11	26.19%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	7	16.67%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	2	4.76%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

- good
- less helping mentality
- Very weak way of teaching. Unpleasant manner of behaviour
- $\bullet \;$ strength willingness to help, Subject knowledge weakness short tempered
- Good

Special Remarks

- good4/10
- Need to improve teaching skills and improve the behavior towards students
- Good



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 A

Staff: NADIYA S NAZAR

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Semester 1 Feedback Session Id: TE-SN1805-Feb-2023

Session Id : TE-SN1805-Feb-2023
Users Completed : 42
Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020
Overall Score : 91.03

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	69.05%	514.75
		Good	14.13	10	23.81%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	2	4.76%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.38%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	69.05%	420.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	23.81%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	3	7.14%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	78.57%	247.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	5	11.9%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	3	7.14%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	2.38%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	61.9%	152.1
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.76%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	14	33.33%	22.96
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	32	76.19%	128
		Yes	0.67	3	7.14%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	16.67%	11.55
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	76.19%	296
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	19.05%	50.72
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.76%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	39	92.86%	185.25
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.38%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.76%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	88.1%	254.56
		Some Times	3.89	3	7.14%	11.67
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.76%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	37	88.1%	249.75
	4. Behavior of the feacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.76%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.38%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.76%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	39	92.86%	448.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.38%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.38%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2.38%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	73.81%	348.75
		Good	9.03	6	14.29%	54.18
		Fair	6.46	4	9.52%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.38%	2.03

- good
- she use mostly english in class. some students are poor in English, they dose not recognize what teacher talking and teaching, she is talking more english class than life skills, she told presentation must in english, so it cause the skills of presentation of students.
- Supportive faculty
- strength subject knowledge, Can control any class in any situation Weakness Non founded
- Good

Special Remarks ■ good ■ 5/10

- One of the Best literature teacher ever met
- \bullet Good